arXiv:1403.1858v1 [math.GT] 7 Mar 2014

THE AJ-CONJECTURE AND CABLED KNOTS OVER TORUS KNOTS

DENNIS RUPPE AND XINGRU ZHANG

Abstract. We show that most cabled knots over torus knots in S^3 satisfy the AJ-conjecture, namely each (r,s)-cabled knot over each (p,q)-torus knot satisfies the AJ-conjecture if r is not a number between 0 and pqs.

1. Introduction

For a knot K in S^3, let $J_{\mathrm{K},n}(t)$ denote the *n-colored Jones polynomial* of K with the zero framing, normalized so that for the unknot U,

$$J_{\mathrm{U},n}(t) = \frac{t^{2n}-t^{-2n}}{t^2-t^{-2}}.$$

A remarkable result, proved in [3], asserts that for every knot K, $J_{\mathrm{K},n}(t)$ satisfies a nontrivial linear recurrence relation. By defining $J_{\mathrm{K},-n}(t) := -J_{\mathrm{K},n}(t)$, one may treat $J_{\mathrm{K},n}(t)$ as a discrete function

$$J_{\mathrm{K},-}(t) : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}].$$

The quantum torus

$$\mathcal{T} = \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]\left\langle \mathrm{L}^{\pm 1}, \mathrm{M}^{\pm 1}\right\rangle / (\mathrm{LM} - t^2\mathrm{ML})$$

acts on the set of discrete functions $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]$ by

$$(\mathrm{M}f)(n) := t^{2n} f(n), \quad (\mathrm{L}f)(n) := f(n+1).$$

Then linear recurrence relations of $J_{\mathrm{K},n}(t)$ correspond naturally to annihilators of $J_{\mathrm{K},n}(t)$ in $\mathcal{T}$. The latter set, which we denote by

$$\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{K}} := \{P \in \mathcal{T} \mid PJ_{\mathrm{K},n}(t) = 0\},$$

is obviously a left ideal of $\mathcal{T}$, called the *recurrence ideal* of K. The result of [3] cited above states that $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is not the zero ideal for every knot K.

The ring $\mathcal{T}$ can be extended to a principal left ideal domain $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ by adding inverses of polynomials in t and M; that is, $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$ is the set of Laurent polynomials in L with coefficients rational functions of t and M with a product defined by

$$f(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{L}^a \cdot g(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{L}^b = f(t,\mathrm{M})g(t,t^{2a}\mathrm{M})\mathrm{L}^{a+b}.$$

The left ideal $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{K}} = \widetilde{\mathcal{T}}\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{K}}$ is then generated by some nonzero polynomial in $\widetilde{\mathcal{T}}$, and in particular, this generator can be chosen to be in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{K}}$ and be of the form

$$\alpha_{\mathrm{K}}(t,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L}) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} P_i \mathrm{L}^i,$$

2000 MATHEMATICS SUBJECT CLASSIFICATION. PRIMARY 57M25

with d minimal and with $P_1, ..., P_d \in \mathbb{Z}[t, \mathrm{M}]$ being coprime in $\mathbb{Z}[t, \mathrm{M}]$. This polynomial α_{K} is uniquely determined up to a sign and is called the (*normalized*) *recurrence polynomial* of K.

The A-polynomial was introduced in [1]. For a knot K in S^3, its A-polynomial $A_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}]$ is a two variable polynomial with no repeated factors and with relative prime integer coefficients, which is uniquely associated to K up to a sign. Note that $A_{\mathrm{K}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L})$ always contains the factor $\mathrm{L} - 1$.

The AJ-conjecture was raised in [2] which states that for every knot K, its recurrence polynomial $\alpha_{\mathrm{K}}(t, \mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L})$ evaluated at $t = -1$ is equal to the A-polynomial of K, up to a factor of a polynomial in M. The conjecture is obviously of fundamental importance as it predicts a strong connection between two important knot invariants derived from very different backgrounds. This is also a very difficult conjecture; so far only torus knots, some 2-bridge knots and some pretzel knots are known to satisfy the conjecture [2] [10] [4] [5] [6] [11].

In this paper, we consider the AJ-conjecture for cabled knots over torus knots. Recall that the set of nontrivial torus knots $T(p, q)$ in S^3 can be indexed, in a standard way, by pairs of relative prime integers (p, q) satisfying $|p| > q \geq 2$. Also recall that an (r, s)-cabled knot on a knot K in S^3 is the knot which can be embedded in the boundary torus of a regular neighborhood of K in S^3 as a curve of slope r/s with respect to the meridian/longitude coordinates of K satisfying $(r, s) = 1$, $s \geq 2$. Note that r can be any integer relatively prime to s. We have

Theorem 1.1. *The AJ-conjecture holds for each (r, s)-cabled knot C over each (p, q)-torus knot T if r is not an integer between 0 and pqs.*

A cabling formula for A-polynomials of cabled knots in S^3 is given in [8]. In particular when C is the (r, s)-cabled knot over the torus knot $T(p, q)$ in S^3, its A-polynomial $A_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L})$ is given explicitly as in (1.1) below. For a pair of relative prime integers (p, q) with $q \geq 2$, define $F_{(p,q)}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}), G_{(p,q)}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}) \in \mathbb{Z}[\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}]$ to be the associated polynomials in variables M and L by:

$$F_{(p,q)}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}) := \begin{cases} \mathrm{M}^{2p}\mathrm{L} + 1, & \text{if } q = 2,\ p > 0, \\ \mathrm{L} + \mathrm{M}^{-2p}, & \text{if } q = 2,\ p < 0, \\ \mathrm{M}^{2pq}\mathrm{L}^2 - 1, & \text{if } q > 2,\ p > 0, \\ \mathrm{L}^2 - \mathrm{M}^{-2pq}, & \text{if } q > 2,\ p < 0 \end{cases}$$

and

$$G_{(p,q)}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}) := \begin{cases} \mathrm{M}^{pq}\mathrm{L} - 1, & \text{if } p > 0, \\ \mathrm{L} - \mathrm{M}^{-pq}, & \text{if } p < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then

$$A_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L}) = \begin{cases} (\mathrm{L} - 1)F_{(r,s)}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L})F_{(p,q)}(\mathrm{M}^{s^2}, \mathrm{L}), & \text{if } s \text{ is odd;} \\ (\mathrm{L} - 1)F_{(r,s)}(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{L})G_{(p,q)}(\mathrm{M}^{s^2}, \mathrm{L}), & \text{if } s \text{ is even.} \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

A cabling formula for the n-colored Jones polynomial of the (r, s)-cabled knot C over a knot K is given in [7] (see also [12]) which in our normalized form is:

$$J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) \quad = t^{-rs(n^2-1)} \sum_{k=-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} t^{4rk(ks+1)} J_{\mathrm{K},2ks+1}(t). \tag{1.2}$$

In particular the n-colored Jones polynomial of the (p,q)-torus knot T (which is the (p,q)-cabled knot over the unknot U) is:

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathrm{T},n}(t) &= t^{-pq(n^2-1)} \sum_{k=-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} t^{4pk(kq+1)} J_{\mathrm{U},2kq+1}(t) \\ &= t^{-pq(n^2-1)} \sum_{k=-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n-1}{2}} t^{4pk(kq+1)} \frac{t^{4kq+2}-t^{-4qk-2}}{t^2-t^{-2}}. \end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

We divide the proof of Theorem 1.1 into the following cases:

(1) s is odd and $q>2$;
(2) s is odd and $q=2$;
(3) $s>2$ is even;
(4) $s=2$.

In each case, we will find an annihilator of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$ by applying the formulas (1.3) and (1.2) (where taking the general knot K to be the (p,q)-torus knot T), and then proceed to prove that it is the recurrence polynomial $\alpha_{\mathrm{C}}(t,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})$ of C when r is not an integer between 0 and pqs, making use of the degree formulas given in Section 2. Of course we will also compare $\alpha_{\mathrm{C}}(-1,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})$ with $A_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})$ given in (1.1) to complete the verification of the AJ-conjecture for C. For convenience, we often get $\alpha_{\mathrm{C}}(t,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})$ in the form $P=\sum_{i=0}^{d} P_i L^i \in \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{C}}$, with d minimal and with $P_i \in \mathbb{Q}(t,\mathrm{M})$ and with $P(-1,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L}) \neq 0$. Such P only differs from α_{C} by a factor of a rational function $f(t,\mathrm{M}) \in \mathbb{Q}(t,\mathrm{M})$ with $f(-1,\mathrm{M}) \neq 0$ and thus is clearly as good as the normalized recurrence polynomial in verification for the AJ-conjecture. We often simply call such P the recurrence polynomial of C. Also notice from the formula (1.1) that changing the sign of r or p only changes the A-polynomial of C up to a power of M, so in checking that $P(-1,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L}) = A_{\mathrm{C}}(\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})$ up to a factor of a rational function in M we don't need to worry about the sign of r or p.

Further investigation of the AJ-conjecture for more general cabled knots, such as iterated torus knots and cabled knots over some hyperbolic knots, are being continued in [9]. In particular for some cabled knots over the figure 8 knot the AJ-conjecture has been verified to be true.

2. Degrees of $J_{\mathrm{T},n}(t)$ and $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$

From now on in this paper, T denotes the (p,q)-torus knot and C the (r,s)-cabled knot over T, with the index convention given in the introduction.

For a polynomial $f(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$, let $\ell[f]$ and $\hbar[f]$ denote the lowest degree and the highest degree of f in t respectively. Obviously for $f(t), g(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}]$, $\ell[fg] = \ell[f]+\ell[g]$ and $\hbar[fg] = \hbar[f]+\hbar[g]$.

Lemma 2.1. *(1) When $p>q$,*

$$\begin{aligned} \ell[J_{T,n}(t)] &= -pqn^2 + pq + \tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{n-1})(p-2)(q-2), \\ \hbar[J_{T,n}(t)] &= 2(p+q-pq)|n| + 2(pq-p-q). \end{aligned}$$

(2) When $p<-q$,

$$\begin{aligned} \ell[J_{T,n}(t)] &= 2(p-q-pq)|n| + 2(pq-p+q), \\ \hbar[J_{T,n}(t)] &= -pqn^2 + pq + \tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{n-1})(p+2)(q-2). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The formula for $\ell[J_{\mathrm{T},n}(t)]$ in part (1) is proved in [11, Lemma 1.4]. The rest of the lemma can be proved similarly. ♢

Note that $r \neq pqs$ since r is relatively prime to s.

Lemma 2.2. *(1) When $p > q$,*

$$\begin{aligned}\ell[J_{C,n}(t)] &= -pqs^2n^2 + (2pqs^2 - 2pqs + 2r - 2rs)n \\ &\quad +2rs - 2r + 2pqs - pqs^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{(n-1)s})(p-2)(q-2), \quad \text{if } r < pqs,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\ell[J_{C,n}(t)] &= -rsn^2 + rs + \tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{(n-1)})(s-2)(r-pqs) \\ &\quad +\tfrac{1}{2}[1-(-1)^{(n-1)s}](p-2)(q-2), \qquad \text{if } r > pqs,\end{aligned}$$

$$\hbar[J_{C,n}(t)] = -rsn^2 + rs + \tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{n-1})(s-2)(r-2pq+2p+2q), \quad \text{if } r < 0.$$

(2) When $p < -q$,

$$\begin{aligned}\hbar[J_{C,n}(t)] &= -pqs^2n^2 + (2pqs^2 - 2pqs + 2r - 2rs)n \\ &\quad +2rs - 2r + 2pqs - pqs^2 + \tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{(n-1)s})(p+2)(q-2), \quad \text{if } r > pqs,\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\hbar[J_{C,n}(t)] &= -rsn^2 + rs + \tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{(n-1)})(s-2)(r-pqs) \\ &\quad +\tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{(n-1)s})(p+2)(q-2), \qquad \text{if } r < pqs,\end{aligned}$$

$$\ell[J_{C,n}(t)] = -rsn^2 + rs + \tfrac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{n-1})(s-2)(r-2pq+2p-2q), \quad \text{if } r > 0.$$

Proof. (1) From the formula (1.2) for $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$ (replacing K there by T), we can see that

$$\ell[J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)] = -rs(n^2-1) + \min\left\{\ell[J_{\mathrm{T},2sk+1}(t)] + 4rk(ks+1) \mid -\frac{n-1}{2} \le k \le \frac{n-1}{2}\right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ell[J_{\mathrm{T},2ks+1}(t)] + 4rk(ks+1) &= -pq(2ks+1)^2 + pq + \frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{2ks})(p-2)(q-2) + 4kr(ks+1) \\ &= (4rs - 4pqs^2)k^2 + (4r-4pqs)k + \frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{2ks})(p-2)(q-2).\end{aligned}$$

When n is odd, k is integer valued and thus the alternating term vanishes, so the above expression is quadratic in k. When n is even, k is half-integer valued and the alternating term is either always equal to zero (when s is even) or is always equal to $(p-2)(q-2)$ (when s is odd), and thus the above expression is again quadratic in k. So if $r < pqs$, it is minimized at $k = \frac{n-1}{2}$, which yields the first formula in part (1), and if $r > pqs$, it is minimized at $k = 0$ when n is odd and at $k = -1/2$ when n is even, which yields the second formula in part (1).

Similarly to get the third formula in (1), we look at

$$\hbar[J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)] = -rs(n^2-1) + \max\left\{\hbar[J_{\mathrm{T},2sk+1}(t)] + 4rk(ks+1) \mid -\frac{n-1}{2} \le k \le \frac{n-1}{2}\right\}.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\hbar[J_{\mathrm{T},2ks+1}(t)] + 4rk(ks+1) = 2(p+q-pq)|2ks+1| + 2(pq-p-q) + 4kr(ks+1) \\ &= \begin{cases} 4rsk^2 + (4ps+4qs-4pqs+4r)k, & \text{for non-negative } k\text{'s}, \\ 4rsk^2 + (-4ps-4qs+4pqs+4r)k + 4(pq-p-q), & \text{for negative } k\text{'s}. \end{cases}\end{aligned}$$

If $r<0$, it is maximized at $k=0$ when n is odd and at $k=-1/2$ when n is even, which yields the third formula in part (1).

Part (2) can be proved similarly. $\diamondsuit$

3. Case $s>2$ is odd and $q>2$

3.1. An Annihilator P of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$.

Define

$$\delta_j=\frac{t^{2(p+q)(j+1)+2}+t^{-2(p+q)(j+1)+2}-t^{2(q-p)(j+1)-2}-t^{-2(q-p)(j+1)-2}}{t^2-t^{-2}},$$

$$S_n=\sum_{k=1}^{s}t^{-4pqskn+2pqsn+4pqk^2-12pqsk+6pqs}\delta_{s(n+3)-1-2k}.$$

By [11, Lemma 1.1], we have

$$J_{\mathrm{T},n+2}(t)=t^{-4pq(n+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},n}(t)+t^{-2pq(n+1)}\delta_n. \tag{3.1}$$

Note that (3.1) is valid for every torus knot (although in [11], only positive p was considered). The following two lemmas also hold for general C and T (without restriction on s and q) and they shall also be applied in later sections.

Lemma 3.1.

$$\begin{aligned}J_{C,n+2}(t)=t^{-4rsn-4rs}J_{C,n}(t)+(t^{2(r-rs)n-2rs+2r-4pqs(n+1)}-t^{2(-r-rs)n-2rs-2r})J_{T,s(n+1)-1}(t)\\+t^{2(r-rs)n-2rs+2r-2pqs(n+1)}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof. We know by the cabling formula (1.2)

$$\begin{aligned}J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t)&=t^{-rs((n+2)^2-1)}\sum_{k=-\frac{n+1}{2}}^{\frac{n+1}{2}}t^{4rk(ks+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},2ks+1}(t)\\&=t^{-rs(n^2+4n+3)}\Bigg(\sum_{k=-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}t^{4rk(ks+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},2ks+1}(t)+t^{4r\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)\left(\left(\frac{n+1}{2}\right)s+1\right)}J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)+1}(t)\\&\quad+t^{4r\left(-\frac{n+1}{2}\right)\left(\left(-\frac{n+1}{2}\right)s+1\right)}J_{\mathrm{T},-s(n+1)+1}(t)\Bigg).\end{aligned}$$

Noting that $J_{\mathrm{T},-s(n+1)+1}(t)=-J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t)&=t^{-rs(n^2+4n+3)}\Bigg(t^{rs(n^2-1)}t^{-rs(n^2-1)}\sum_{k=-\frac{n-1}{2}}^{\frac{n-1}{2}}t^{4rk(ks+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},2ks+1}(t)\\&\quad+t^{(n+1)^2rs+2r(n+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)+1}(t)-t^{(n+1)^2rs-2r(n+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\Bigg)\\&=t^{-4rsn-4rs}J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)+t^{2(r-rs)n-2rs+2r}J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)+1}(t)-t^{2(-r-rs)n-2rs-2r}J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t).\end{aligned}$$

Since $J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)+1}(t)$ and $J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)$ are related by equation (3.1) as

$$J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)+1}=t^{-4pqs(n+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}+t^{-2pqs(n+1)}\delta_{s(n+1)-1},$$

we have

$$J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t) = t^{-4rsn-4rs}J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + (t^{2(r-rs)n-2rs+2r-4pqs(n+1)} - t^{2(-r-rs)n-2rs-2r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + t^{2(r-rs)n-2rs+2r-2pqs(n+1)}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}.$$

♢

Lemma 3.2. *For all positive integers m, we have*

$$J_{T,n}(t) = t^{-4pqm(n+1)+4pqm(m+1)}J_{T,n-2m}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} t^{(-4pqk+2pq)n+4pqk^2-4pqk+2pq}\delta_{n-2k}$$

and in particular, with s any positive integer,

$$J_{T,s(n+3)-1}(t) = t^{-4pqs^2n-8pqs^2-4pqs}J_{T,s(n+1)-1}(t) + S_n.$$

Proof. We induct on m. The base case $m = 1$ follows directly from equation (3.1). Then assume the formula holds for some positive integer m. Applying equation (3.1) again yields

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\mathrm{T},n}(t) &= t^{-4pqm(n+1)+4pqm(m+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},n-2m}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} t^{(-4pqk+2pq)n+4pqk^2-4pqk+2pq}\delta_{n-2k} \\
&= t^{-4pqm(n+1)+4pqm(m+1)}(t^{-4pq(n-2m-1)}J_{\mathrm{T},n-2m-2}(t) + t^{-2pq(n-2m-1)}\delta_{n-2m-2}) \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^{m} t^{(-4pqk+2pq)n+4pqk^2-4pqk+2pq}\delta_{n-2k} \\
&= t^{-4pqm(n+1)+4pqm(m+1)-4pq(n-2m-2+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},n-2m-2}(t) \\
&\quad + t^{-4pqm(n+1)+4pqm(m+1)-2pq(n-2m-1)}\delta_{n-2m-2} + \sum_{k=1}^{m} t^{(-4pqk+2pq)n+4pqk^2-4pqk+2pq}\delta_{n-2k}.
\end{aligned}$$

If we compare the terms in the summation to the δ_{n-2m-2} term outside, we can easily see that this is precisely the term where $k = m + 1$. So moving it inside, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
J_{\mathrm{T},n}(t) &= t^{-4pq(m+1)(n+1)+4pq(m^2+m)+4pq(2m+2)}J_{\mathrm{T},n-2(m+1)}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} t^{(-4pqk+2pq)n+4pqk^2-4pqk+2pq}\delta_{n-2k} \\
&= t^{-4pq(m+1)(n+1)+4pq(m+1)(m+2)}J_{\mathrm{T},n-2(m+1)}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{m+1} t^{(-4pqk+2pq)n+4pqk^2-4pqk+2pq}\delta_{n-2k}
\end{aligned}$$

as needed. Applying the formula at $s(n + 3) - 1$ gives the particular equation. ♢

We shall now find an annihilator for $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$. By Lemma 3.1, replacing t^{2n} with M gives us

$$J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t) = \mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs}J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + (\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-4pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1},$$

and since $J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t) = \mathrm{L}^2J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$, we find

$$(\mathrm{L}^2 - \mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) = (\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-4pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}.$$

In this equation, let

$$a(t,\mathrm{M}) = \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-4pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r},$$

which is the coefficient of $J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)$, then obviously $a(t,\mathrm{M}) \neq 0$, and we have

$$\begin{aligned} &a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}^2 - \mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) \\ &= J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

From Lemma 3.2, we have

$$(\mathrm{L}^2 - t^{-8pqs^2-4pqs}\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) = S_n.$$

So multiplying (3.2) from the left by $(\mathrm{L}^2 - t^{-8pqs^2-4pqs}\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2})$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} &(\mathrm{L}^2 - t^{-8pqs^2-4pqs}\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2})a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}^2 - \mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) \\ &= S_n + (\mathrm{L}^2 - t^{-8pqs^2-4pqs}\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2})a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1} \\ &= S_n + a^{-1}(t,t^4\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{-6rs+6r-6pqs}\delta_{s(n+3)-1} \\ &\quad -a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs-2pqs^2}t^{-2rs+2r-8pqs^2-6pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Let $b(t,\mathrm{M})/(t^2-t^{-2})$ denote the right hand side of (3.3). Then $b(t,\mathrm{M})$ is a rational function in t and M. We claim that $b \neq 0$, which we show by checking $b(-1,\mathrm{M}) := \lim_{t\to -1} b(t,\mathrm{M}) \neq 0$. Recall

$$S_n = \sum_{k=1}^{s} \mathrm{M}^{-2pqsk+pqs}t^{4pqk^2-12pqsk+6pqs}\delta_{s(n+3)-1-2k}.$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t\to -1}(t^2-t^{-2})S_n &= \textstyle\sum_{k=1}^{s} \mathrm{M}^{-2pqsk+pqs}(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)}) \\ &= (\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)})\tfrac{\mathrm{M}^{pqs}(1-\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2})}{\mathrm{M}^{2pqs}-1}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{t\to -1}(t^2-t^{-2})\big(a^{-1}(t,t^4\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{6r-6rs-6pqs}\delta_{s(n+3)-1} \\ &\qquad -a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs-2pqs^2}t^{-2rs+2r-8pqs^2-6pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}\big) \\ &= a^{-1}(-1,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs-2pqs^2})(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)}) \\ &= \tfrac{1}{\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}}(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs-2pqs^2})(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)}). \end{aligned}$$

Summing up the two limits above, we get

$$\begin{aligned} &b(-1,\mathrm{M}) := \lim_{t\to -1} b(t,\mathrm{M}) \\ &= \left(\tfrac{\mathrm{M}^{pqs}(1-\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2})}{\mathrm{M}^{2pqs}-1} + \tfrac{\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs-2pqs^2}}{\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}}\right)(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)}) \\ &= \tfrac{(-\mathrm{M}^{pqs-r-rs}+\mathrm{M}^{r-rs+pqs})(1-\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2})(\mathrm{M}^{ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-ps})(\mathrm{M}^{qs}-\mathrm{M}^{-qs})}{(\mathrm{M}^{2pqs}-1)(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})}, \end{aligned}$$

which is not zero. So $b \neq 0$ and we conclude that our recurrence (3.3) is inhomogeneous. Therefore,

$$P(t,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L}) = (\mathrm{L}-1)b^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}^2 - t^{-8pqs^2-4pqs}\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2})a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}^2 - \mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})$$

is an annihilator of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{C}}$.

Up to this point all the results above in this section are valid for general C over T. From now on in this section, we put in the restriction that s is odd and $q > 2$. Once we prove that P

is of minimal degree in L, it will follow that P is the recurrence polynomial of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$ up to normalization. We can check the AJ-conjecture by evaluating P at $t=-1$.

$$P(-1,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L}) = b^{-1}(-1,\mathrm{M})a^{-1}(-1,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}-1)(\mathrm{L}^2-\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2})(\mathrm{L}^2-\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}),$$

which, up to a nonzero rational function in M, is equal to the A-polynomial of C.

3.2. **P is the Recurrence Polynomial of C.** We now wish to show that the operator P is the recurrence polynomial of C, up to normalization. It is enough to show that if an operator $Q = D_4L^4+D_3L^3+D_2L^2+D_1L+D_0$ is an annihilator of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$ with $D_0,...,D_4 \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm1},\mathrm{M}^{\pm1}]$, then $Q=0$.

Suppose $QJ_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)=0$, that is,

$$D_4J_{\mathrm{C},n+4}(t)+D_3J_{\mathrm{C},n+3}(t)+D_2J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t)+D_1J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)+D_0J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)=0.$$

We wish to show that $D_i=0$ for $i=0,1,2,3,4$. Applying our Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= D_4J_{\mathrm{C},n+4}(t)+D_3J_{\mathrm{C},n+3}(t)+D_2J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t)+D_1J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)+D_0J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)\\
&= D_4\big(\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-12rs}J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t)+(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-6rs+6r-12pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-6rs-6r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+3)-1}(t)\\
&\quad+\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{-6rs+6r-6pqs}\delta_{s(n+3)-1}\big)\\
&\quad+D_3\big(\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs}J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)+(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-4rs+4r-8pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)\\
&\quad+\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{4r-4rs-4pqs}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\big)\\
&\quad+D_2J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t)+D_1J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)+D_0J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (D_4\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-12rs}+D_2)\big(\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs}J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)+(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-4pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\\
&\quad+\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{2r-2rs-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}\big)\\
&\quad+D_4\big((\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-6rs+6r-12pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-6rs-6r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+3)-1}(t)+\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{6r-6rs-6pqs}\delta_{s(n+3)-1}\big)\\
&\quad+D_3\big(\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs}J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)+(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-4rs+4r-8pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)\\
&\quad+\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{4r-4rs-4pqs}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\big)\\
&\quad+D_1J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)+D_0J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)\\
&= (D_0+D_2\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs}+D_4\mathrm{M}^{-4rs}t^{-16rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)+(D_1+D_3\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)\\
&\quad+D_4(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-6rs+6r-12pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-6rs-6r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+3)-1}(t)\\
&\quad+D_3(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-4rs+4r-8pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)\\
&\quad+(D_4\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-12rs}+D_2)(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-4pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\\
&\quad+(D_4\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-12rs}+D_2)\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{2r-2rs-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}+D_3\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{4r-4rs-4pqs}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\\
&\quad+D_4\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{6r-6rs-6pqs}\delta_{s(n+3)-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and applying Lemma 3.2,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (D_0 + D_2\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs} + D_4\mathrm{M}^{-4rs}t^{-16rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + (D_1 + D_3\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)\\
&\quad + \big(D_4(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-6rs+6r-12pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-6rs-6r})\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2}t^{-8pqs^2-4pqs}\\
&\qquad + (D_4\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-12rs} + D_2)(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-4pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})\big)J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_3(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-4rs+4r-8pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + (D_4\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-12rs} + D_2)\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{2r-2rs-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1} + D_3\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{4r-4rs-4pqs}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\\
&\qquad + D_4\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{6r-6rs-6pqs}\delta_{s(n+3)-1} + D_4(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-6rs+6r-12pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-6rs-6r})S_n\\
&= D_4'J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + D_3'J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + D_2'J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + D_1'J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t) + D_0'
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that each $D_i' = 0$, and it then follows that each $D_i = 0$. Indeed, it follows easily from $D_3' = D_1' = 0$ that $D_3 = D_1 = 0$. For the rest, it is enough to show that the two linear equations defined by $D_0' = 0$ and $D_2' = 0$ are linearly independent (with D_2 and D_4 as variables). We can check that the determinant of the linear system is nonzero, and in particular, we multiply by $(t^2 - t^{-2})$ and then evaluate at $t = -1$ in order to use equation (3.4):

$$
\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})(\mathrm{M}^{r-3rs-pqs} + \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs} + (\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})\mathrm{M}^{pqs}\frac{(1-\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2})}{\mathrm{M}^{2pqs}-1})\\
&\quad (\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)})\\
&- (\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs-2pqs^2} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs-2pqs^2} + \mathrm{M}^{r-3rs-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-3rs})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}\\
&\quad (\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)})\\
=&\frac{1}{\mathrm{M}^{2pqs}-1}(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)})(\mathrm{M}^{r-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r})\\
&\quad \big(\mathrm{M}^{-rs}(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}(\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}+1)(\mathrm{M}^{2pqs}-1) + (\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs+pqs})(1-\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2}))\\
&\quad - \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}(\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2-rs} + \mathrm{M}^{-3rs})(\mathrm{M}^{2pqs}-1)\big),
\end{aligned}
$$

expanding some of the terms to observe cancelation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
=&\frac{1}{\mathrm{M}^{2pqs}-1}(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)})(\mathrm{M}^{r-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r})\\
&\quad \big(\mathrm{M}^{r-4rs+pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{r-4rs-pqs} + \mathrm{M}^{r-2rs+pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{r-2rs-pqs}\\
&\qquad + \mathrm{M}^{r-2rs-pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{r-2rs-pqs-2pqs^2} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-2rs+pqs} + \mathrm{M}^{-r-2rs+pqs-2pqs^2}\\
&\qquad + \mathrm{M}^{r-2rs-pqs-2pqs^2} + \mathrm{M}^{r-4rs-pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{r-2rs+pqs-2pqs^2} - \mathrm{M}^{r-4rs+pqs}\big)\\
=&\frac{(\mathrm{M}^{r-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r})}{\mathrm{M}^{2pqs}-1}(\mathrm{M}^{ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-ps})(\mathrm{M}^{qs} - \mathrm{M}^{-qs})\big((\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs^2}-1)(\mathrm{M}^{-r-2rs+pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{r-2rs+pqs})\big)
\end{aligned}
$$

which is indeed nonzero.

We now prove that if r is not an integer between 0 and pqs, we have $D_i' = 0$ for each $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$ and thus our annihilator P is of minimal L-degree.

We say that a function $f : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ is a *quasi-polynomial* if there exist periodic functions $a_0, \dots, a_d$ each with integral period such that

$$f(n) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} a_i(n) n^i,$$

and f is of degree d if $a_d \neq 0$. In particular, we say f is *quasi-quadratic* if f is a quasi-polynomial of degree 2.

Lemma 3.3. *(1) When $p > q$ and either $r < 0$ or $r > pqs$, we have $D'_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.*
(2) When $p < -q$ and either $r > 0$ or $r < pqs$, we have $D'_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$.

Proof. (1) Suppose $p > q$, $r > pqs$, and some $D'_i \neq 0$. Then there must be another nonzero D'_j such that one of the following equalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell[D'_4 J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)] &= \ell[D'_3 J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)],\\
\ell[D'_4 J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)] &= \ell[D'_2 J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)],\\
\ell[D'_4 J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)] &= \ell[D'_1 J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)],\\
\ell[D'_3 J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)] &= \ell[D'_2 J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)],\\
\ell[D'_3 J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)] &= \ell[D'_1 J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)],\\
\ell[D'_2 J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)] &= \ell[D'_1 J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)].
\end{aligned}$$

That is, two of the summands must share a lowest degree, and since $\ell[D'_0]$ is only linear in n for large enough n while $\ell[J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)]$ and $\ell[J_{\mathrm{T},n}(t)]$ are quasi-quadratic by Lemmas 2.2 and 2.1, we can immediately dispose of the cases involving D'_0.

Subcase 3.1. $\ell[D'_4 J_{\mathbf{C},n}(t)] = \ell[D'_3 J_{\mathbf{C},n+1}(t)]$**:**

From the second formula of Lemma 2.2(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell[D'_4] - \ell[D'_3] &= \ell[J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)] - \ell[J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)]\\
&= -2rsn - rs - (-1)^n((s-2)(r-pqs) + (p-2)(q-2)),
\end{aligned}$$

but for sufficiently large n, $\ell[D'_4] - \ell[D'_3]$ is a linear function in n, while the right hand side is not a polynomial, so we have a contradiction.

Subcase 3.2. $\ell[D'_4 J_{\mathbf{C},n}(t)] = \ell[D'_2 J_{\mathbf{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)]$**:**

From Lemmas 2.2(1) and 2.1(1), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\ell[D'_4] - \ell[D'_2] &= \ell[J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)] - \ell[J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)]\\
&= s(r-pqs)n^2 + (2pqs - 2pqs^2)n - pqs^2 + 2pqs - rs\\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{n-1})(s-2)(r-pqs),
\end{aligned}$$

which is quasi-quadratic, while the left hand side is at most linear, giving us another contradiction.

Subcase 3.3. $\ell[D'_4 J_{\mathbf{C},n}(t)] = \ell[D'_1 J_{\mathbf{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)]$**:**

Here we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ell[D'_4]-\ell[D'_1] &= \ell[J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)]-\ell[J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)]\\ &= s(r-pqs)n^2+(2pqs-4pqs^2)n-pq(4s^2-4s)-rs\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{n-1})(s-2)(r-pqs)+(-1)^n(p-2)(q-2)\end{aligned}$$

again giving us a quasi-quadratic function on the right and a linear function on the left, which is a contradiction.

Subcase 3.4. $\ell[D'_3J_{\mathbf{C},n+1}(t)]=\ell[D'_2J_{\mathbf{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)]$**:**

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\ell[D'_3]-\ell[D'_2] &= \ell[J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)]-\ell[J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)]\\ &= s(r-pqs)n^2+(2pqs+2rs-2pqs^2)n-pq(s^2-2s)\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{n-1})(s-2)(r-pqs)+(-1)^n(p-2)(q-2)\end{aligned}$$

which is again quasi-quadratic on the right and linear on the left, again a contradiction.

Subcase 3.5. $\ell[D'_3J_{\mathbf{C},n+1}(t)]=\ell[D'_1J_{\mathbf{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)]$**:**

We have

$$\begin{aligned}\ell[D'_3]-\ell[D'_1] &= \ell[J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)]-\ell[J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)]\\ &= (rs-pqs^2)n^2+(2pqs+2rs-4pqs^2)n-pq(4s^2-4s)\\ &\quad -\frac{1}{2}(1-(-1)^{n})(s-2)(r-pqs)\end{aligned}$$

giving us another contradiction.

Subcase 3.6. $\ell[D'_2J_{\mathbf{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)]=\ell[D'_1J_{\mathbf{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)]$**:**

This time we have

$$\begin{aligned}\ell[D'_2]-\ell[D'_1] &= \ell[J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)]-\ell[J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)]\\ &= -2pqs^2n+2pqs-3pqs^2+\frac{1}{2}(-1)^{(n+1)s}(1-(-1)^s)(p-2)(q-2)\\ &= -2pqs^2n+2pqs-3pqs^2-(-1)^n(p-2)(q-2)\end{aligned}$$

which is alternating on the right and eventually linear on the left, which is a contradiction. This exhausts the possibilities of the case $r>pqs$.

Now assume $p>q$ and $r<0$. We first consider the highest degrees of the summands in the equation

$$0=D'_4J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)+D'_3J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)+D'_2J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)+D'_1J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)+D'_0$$

for large positive n's. If $D'_3\neq 0$ or $D'_4\neq 0$, then both of them cannot be zero since by Lemma 2.2(1) $\hbar[J_{\mathrm{C},n}]$ and $\hbar[J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}]$ are each quasi-quadratic while by Lemma 2.1 (1) $\hbar[J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)]$ and $\hbar[J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)]$ are each linear in n (for positive n's), and we must have the following

Subcase 3.7. $\hbar[D'_4 J_{\mathbf{C},n}(t)] = \hbar[D'_3 J_{\mathbf{C},n+1}(t)]$**:**

Then we have, by Lemma 2.2(1),

$$\begin{aligned}\hbar[D'_4] - \hbar[D'_3] &= \hbar[J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)] - \hbar[J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)] \\ &= -2rsn - rs - (-1)^n(s-2)(r-2pq+2p+2q)\end{aligned}$$

which is a linear polynomial for large n on the left but is not a linear polynomial on the right, giving a contradiction.

So both D'_4 and D'_3 are zero. So we have $0 = D'_2 J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + D'_1 J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t) + D'_0$. We can then analyze the lowest degrees in a similar fashion as above; if one of the D'_i is not zero, we must have

Subcase 3.8. $\ell[D'_2 J_{\mathbf{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)] = \ell[D'_1 J_{\mathbf{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)]$**:**

This can be treated similarly as Subcase 3.6. We conclude that each $D'_i = 0$. This completes the proof of part (1).

Part (2) of the lemma can be proved similarly with the use of Lemmas 2.1(2) and 2.2(2). ♢

Remark 3.4. In the proof of Lemma 3.3 we used the condition that $s > 2$ odd and $q > 2$ in several subcases. Some of these subcases will disappear accordingly in later sections when we impose the condition s odd and $q = 2$ or $s > 2$ even or $s = 2$.

4. Case $s > 2$ is odd and $q = 2$

4.1. An Annihilator P of $J_{\mathbf{C},n}(t)$.

Define:

$$U_n = \sum_{k=1}^{s} (-1)^{k-1} t^{2psn-4psnk+2pk^2-8psk+2pk+4ps} \frac{t^{4sn+8s-2-4k} - t^{-4sn-8s+2+4k}}{t^2 - t^{-2}}.$$

When $q = 2$, we have by [11, Lemma 1.5] the identity

$$J_{\mathrm{T},n+1}(t) = -t^{-(4n+2)p} J_{\mathrm{T},n}(t) + t^{-2pn} \frac{t^{4n+2} - t^{-4n-2}}{t^2 - t^{-2}}. \tag{4.1}$$

Note again that (4.1) is valid for negative p as well.

Lemma 4.1. *When $q = 2$, for all positive integers m, we have*

$$J_{T,n}(t) = (-1)^m t^{(-4mn+2m^2)p} J_{T,n-m}(t) + \sum_{k=1}^{m} (-1)^{k-1} t^{-(4k-2)pn+(2k^2-2k+2)p} \frac{t^{4n+2-4k} - t^{-4n-2+4k}}{t^2 - t^{-2}}$$

and in particular, when s is odd,

$$J_{T,s(n+2)-1}(t) = -t^{-4ps^2n+4ps-6ps^2} J_{T,s(n+1)-1}(t) + U_n.$$

Proof. Apply the relation (4.1) m times. ♢

Note that the relation (3.2) is valid for general C over T. Specializing it at $q = 2$ and s odd, we have

$$\begin{aligned}&a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}^2 - \mathrm{M}^{-2rs} t^{-4rs}) J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) \\ &= J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M}) \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps} t^{2r-2rs-4ps} \delta_{s(n+1)-1}.\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

From Lemma 4.1, we get

$$(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}t^{4ps-6ps^2})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)=U_n.$$

Multiplying (4.2) from the left by $(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}t^{4ps-6ps^2})$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}&(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}t^{4ps-6ps^2})a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}^2-\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)\\&=U_n+(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}t^{4ps-6ps^2})a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{2r-2rs-4ps}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}\\&=U_n+a^{-1}(t,t^2\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{4r-4rs-8ps}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\\&\quad+a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps-2ps^2}t^{2r-2rs-6ps^2}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.3}$$

As in Section 3, let $b(t,\mathrm{M})/(t^2-t^{-2})$ denote the right hand side of (4.3). Then $b(t,\mathrm{M})$ is a rational function in t and M. We now show that $b\neq 0$ by checking $b(-1,\mathrm{M}):=\lim_{t\to-1}b(t,\mathrm{M})\neq 0$. Rewrite U_n as a function of t and M by changing t^{2n} to M:

$$U_n=\sum_{k=1}^{s}(-1)^{k-1}\mathrm{M}^{ps-2psk}t^{2pk^2-8psk+2pk+4ps}\frac{\mathrm{M}^{2s}t^{8s-2-4k}-\mathrm{M}^{-2s}t^{-8s+2+4k}}{t^2-t^{-2}}.$$

So we have

$$\lim_{t\to-1}(t^2-t^{-2})U_n=\sum_{k=1}^{s}(-1)^{k-1}\mathrm{M}^{ps-2psk}(\mathrm{M}^{2s}-\mathrm{M}^{-2s})=\frac{(\mathrm{M}^{2s}-\mathrm{M}^{-2s})\mathrm{M}^{-ps}(1+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2})}{1+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps}}.\tag{4.4}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}&\lim_{t\to-1}(t^2-t^{-2})\big(a^{-1}(t,t^2\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{4r-4rs-8ps}\delta_{s(n+3)-1}\\&\qquad+a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps-2ps^2}t^{2r-2rs-6ps^2}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}\big)\\&=\frac{\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}+\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps-2ps^2}}{\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}}(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+2)}+\mathrm{M}^{-s(p+2)}-\mathrm{M}^{s(2-p)}-\mathrm{M}^{-s(2-p)}).\end{aligned}$$

Summing up the two limits above, we get

$$\begin{aligned}&b(-1,\mathrm{M}):=\lim_{t\to-1}b(t,\mathrm{M})\\&=\frac{(\mathrm{M}^{2s}-\mathrm{M}^{-2s})\mathrm{M}^{-ps}(1+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2})}{1+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps}}+\frac{\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}+\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps-2ps^2}}{\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}}(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+2)}+\mathrm{M}^{-s(p+2)}-\mathrm{M}^{s(2-p)}-\mathrm{M}^{-s(2-p)})\\&=\frac{(\mathrm{M}^{2s}-\mathrm{M}^{-2s})(1+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2})\mathrm{M}^{-rs-ps}(\mathrm{M}^{r}-\mathrm{M}^{-r})}{(1+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps})(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})},\end{aligned}$$

which is not zero. So $b\neq 0$ and we conclude that our recurrence (4.3) is inhomogeneous. Therefore,

$$P(t,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})=(\mathrm{L}-1)b^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}t^{4ps-6ps^2})a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}^2-\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})$$

is an annihilator of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$ in $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{C}}$.

Once we prove that P is of minimal degree in L, it will follow that P is the recurrence polynomial of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$ up to normalization. We can check the AJ-conjecture by evaluating P at $t=-1$.

$$P(-1,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})=b^{-1}(-1,\mathrm{M})a^{-1}(-1,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}-1)(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2})(\mathrm{L}^2-\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}),$$

which, up to a nonzero factor in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{M})$, is equal to the A-polynomial of C.

4.2. **P is the Recurrence Polynomial of C.** We now wish to show that the operator P is the recurrence polynomial of C. It is enough to show that if an operator $Q = D_3\mathrm{L}^3+D_2\mathrm{L}^2+D_1\mathrm{L}+D_0$ with $D_0, ..., D_3 \in \mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm 1}, \mathrm{M}^{\pm 1}]$ is an annihilator of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$, then $Q = 0$.

Suppose $QJ_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) = 0$, that is, $D_3J_{\mathrm{C},n+3}(t) + D_2J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t) + D_1J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + D_0J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) = 0$. We wish to show that $D_i = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$. We have by Lemma 3.1 (specialized at $q = 2$)

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 &= D_3J_{\mathrm{C},n+3}(t) + D_2J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t) + D_1J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + D_0J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)\\
&= D_3\big(\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs}J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + (\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}t^{-4rs+4r-16ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{4r-4rs-8ps}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\big)\\
&\quad + D_2\big(\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs}J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + (\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}t^{-2rs+2r-8ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{2r-2rs-4ps}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}\big)\\
&\quad + D_1J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + D_0J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)\\
&= (D_0 + D_2\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + (D_1 + D_3\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_3(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}t^{-4rs+4r-16ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_2(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}t^{-2rs+2r-8ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_2\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{2r-2rs-4ps}\delta_{s(n+1)-1} + D_3\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{4r-4rs-8ps}\delta_{s(n+2)-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

and applying Lemma 4.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
&= (D_0 + D_2\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + (D_1 + D_3\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_3(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}t^{-4rs+4r-16ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})(-\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}t^{4ps-6ps^2}J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + U_n)\\
&\quad + D_2(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}t^{-2rs+2r-8ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_2\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{2r-2rs-4ps}\delta_{s(n+1)-1} + D_3\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{4r-4rs-8ps}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\\
&= (D_0 + D_2\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + (D_1 + D_3\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)\\
&\quad + \big(D_3(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}t^{-4rs+4r-16ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})(-\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}t^{4ps-6ps^2})\\
&\quad + D_2(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}t^{-2rs+2r-8ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})\big)J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_2\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{2r-2rs-4ps}\delta_{s(n+1)-1} + D_3\big((\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}t^{-4rs+4r-16ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})U_n\\
&\quad + \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}t^{4r-4rs-8ps}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\big)\\
&= D_3'J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + D_2'J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + D_1'J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + D_0'
\end{aligned}
$$

We claim that each $D_i' = 0$, and it then follows as in the previous section that each $D_i = 0$. We again wish to show that the two linear equations defined by $D_0' = 0$ and $D_1' = 0$ are linearly independent (with D_2 and D_3 as variables). So let's check the determinant of the linear system,

multiplied by (t^2-t^{-2}) and then valued at $t=-1$, is nonzero:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})(-\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+2)}+\mathrm{M}^{-s(p+2)}-\mathrm{M}^{s(2-p)}-\mathrm{M}^{-s(2-p)})\\
&-(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})\big((\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})\frac{(\mathrm{M}^{2s}-\mathrm{M}^{-2s})\mathrm{M}^{-ps}(1+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2})}{1+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps}}\\
&\quad+\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+2)}+\mathrm{M}^{-s(p+2)}-\mathrm{M}^{s(2-p)}-\mathrm{M}^{-s(2-p)})\big)\\
=&-(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})(\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}+1)\big(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+2)}+\mathrm{M}^{-s(p+2)}-\mathrm{M}^{s(2-p)}-\mathrm{M}^{-s(2-p)})\\
&+(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})\frac{(\mathrm{M}^{2s}-\mathrm{M}^{-2s})\mathrm{M}^{-ps}}{1+\mathrm{M}^{-2ps}}\big)\\
=&-(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})(\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}+1)(\mathrm{M}^{2s}-\mathrm{M}^{-2s})\big(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}(\mathrm{M}^{ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-ps})\\
&+(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})\frac{1}{\mathrm{M}^{ps}+\mathrm{M}^{-ps}}\big)\\
=&-\frac{1}{\mathrm{M}^{ps}+\mathrm{M}^{-ps}}(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})(\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}+1)(\mathrm{M}^{2s}-\mathrm{M}^{-2s})\big(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2ps}(\mathrm{M}^{2ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-2ps})\\
&+(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})\big)\\
=&-\frac{1}{\mathrm{M}^{ps}+\mathrm{M}^{-ps}}(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-4ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})(\mathrm{M}^{-2ps^2}+1)(\mathrm{M}^{2s}-\mathrm{M}^{-2s})(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})
\end{aligned}$$

which is indeed nonzero.

The following lemma shows that each $D'_i=0$ if r is not a number between 0 and pqs.

Lemma 4.2. *(1) When $p>q=2$ and either $r<0$ or $r>pqs$, we have $D'_i=0$ for $i=0,1,2,3$.*
(2) When $p<-q$ and either $r>0$ or $r<pqs$, we have $D'_i=0$ for $i=0,1,2,3$.

Proof. The proof is entirely similar to that of Lemma 3.3 (also cf Remark 3.4). ♢

5. Case $s>2$ is even

5.1. **An Annihilator P of $J_{\mathbf{C},n}(t)$.** Recall our definition

$$\delta_j=\frac{t^{2(p+q)(j+1)+2}+t^{-2(p+q)(j+1)+2}-t^{2(q-p)(j+1)-2}-t^{-2(q-p)(j+1)-2}}{t^2-t^{-2}}$$

and further define:

$$V_n=\sum_{k=1}^{s/2}t^{-4pqsnk+2pqsn+4pqk^2-8pqsk+4pqs}\delta_{s(n+2)-1-2k}.$$

Lemma 5.1. *If s is even, then*

$$J_{T,s(n+2)-1}(t)=t^{-2pqs^2n-3pqs^2+2pqs}J_{T,s(n+1)-1}(t)+V_n$$

Proof. Apply Lemma 3.2, setting $m=s/2$. ♢

Lemma 5.1 yields the relation

$$(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2}t^{-3pqs^2+2pqs})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)=V_n.$$

So applying the operator $(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2}t^{-3pqs^2+2pqs})$ to both sides of (3.2) gives:

$$\begin{aligned}
&(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2}t^{-3pqs^2+2pqs})a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}^2-\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)\\
&= V_n + (\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2}t^{-3pqs^2+2pqs})a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{2r-2rs-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}\\
&= V_n + a^{-1}(t,t^2\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{4r-4rs-4pqs}\delta_{s(n+2)-1} - a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs^2-pqs}t^{2r-2rs-3pqs^2}\delta_{s(n+1)-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

To see this is an inhomogeneous recursion for $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$, let $b(t,\mathrm{M})/(t^2-t^{-2})$ be the right hand side of this equation and check it is nonzero. As before it suffices to check that $\lim_{t\to-1} b(t,\mathrm{M}) \neq 0$, considering V_n and $\delta_{s(n+k)-j}$'s as functions of t and M (changing t^{2n} to M). We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&b(-1,\mathrm{M}) = \lim_{t\to-1} b(t,\mathrm{M})\\
&= \lim_{t\to-1}(t^2-t^{-2})(V_n + a^{-1}(t,t^2\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{4r-4rs-4pqs}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\\
&\qquad -a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs^2-pqs}t^{2r-2rs-3pqs^2}\delta_{s(n+1)-1})\\
&= (\textstyle\sum_{i=1}^{s/2}\mathrm{M}^{-2pqsj+pqs} + a^{-1}(-1,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs^2-pqs}))\\
&\qquad \times(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)}+\mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)}-\mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)}-\mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)})\\
&= \left(\frac{\mathrm{M}^{-pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2-pqs}}{1-\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs}} + \frac{\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs^2-pqs}}{\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}}\right)(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)}+\mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)}-\mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)}-\mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)})\\
&= \frac{(1-\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2})\mathrm{M}^{-rs-pqs}(\mathrm{M}^r-\mathrm{M}^{-r})(\mathrm{M}^{ps}-\mathrm{M}^{-ps})(\mathrm{M}^{qs}-\mathrm{M}^{-qs})}{(1-\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs})(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}-\mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})},
\end{aligned}$$

which is indeed nonzero. Hence

$$P(t,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L}) = (\mathrm{L}-1)b^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2}t^{-3pqs^2+2pqs})a^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}^2-\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})$$

is an annihilator of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$. Assuming P is of minimal degree in L, we can now check the AJ-conjecture by evaluating P at $t=-1$. We have

$$P(-1,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L}) = b^{-1}(-1,\mathrm{M})a^{-1}(-1,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}-1)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2})(\mathrm{L}^2-\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}),$$

which agrees with the A-polynomial of C, up to a nonzero factor of a rational function in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{M})$.

5.2. **P is the Recurrence Polynomial of C.** We now want to show that the operator P is the recurrence polynomial of C. It is enough to prove that if $Q = D_3L^3+D_2L^2+D_1L+D_0$ is an element in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{C}}$, then $Q=0$. As in Subsection 4.2 we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= D_3J_{\mathrm{C},n+3}(t) + D_2J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t) + D_1J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + D_0J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)\\
&= (D_1+D_3\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + (D_0+D_2\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)\\
&\quad + D_3(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-4rs+4r-8pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+2)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_2(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-4pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_2\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{2r-2rs-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1} + D_3\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{4r-4rs-4pqs}\delta_{s(n+2)-1},
\end{aligned}$$

and applying Lemma 5.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
&= (D_1 + D_3\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + (D_0 + D_2\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)\\
&\quad + D_3(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-4rs+4r-8pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})(\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2}t^{-3pqs^2+2pqs}J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + V_n)\\
&\quad + D_2(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-4pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_2\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{2r-2rs-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1} + D_3\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{4r-4rs-4pqs}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\\
&= (D_1 + D_3\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-8rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + (D_0 + D_2\mathrm{M}^{-2rs}t^{-4rs})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)\\
&\quad + \big(D_3(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-4rs+4r-8pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2}t^{-3pqs^2+2pqs}\\
&\qquad + D_2(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-2rs+2r-4pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-2rs-2r})\big)J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t)\\
&\quad + D_2\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{2r-2rs-2pqs}\delta_{s(n+1)-1} + D_3(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}t^{4r-4rs-4pqs}\delta_{s(n+2)-1}\\
&\qquad + (\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs}t^{-4rs+4r-8pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs}t^{-4rs-4r})V_n)\\
&= D_3'J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + D_2'J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) + D_1'J_{\mathrm{T},s(n+1)-1}(t) + D_0'
\end{aligned}$$

As in the previous section, we show that $D_i' = 0$, $i = 1, ..., 3$, implies $D_i = 0$, $i = 0, ..., 3$. We just need to show that the two linear equations defined by $D_0' = 0$ and $D_1' = 0$ are linearly independent. Again we just need check the determinant of the linear system, multiplied by $(t^2 - t^{-2})$ and then valued at $t = -1$, is nonzero:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\big((\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2}\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}\\
&-(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs} + (\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})\tfrac{\mathrm{M}^{-pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2-pqs}}{1-\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs}})\big)\\
&(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)})\\
=\ &\tfrac{(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})}{1-\mathrm{M}^{-2pqs}}\big(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs^2-pqs}(1 - \mathrm{M}^{-2pqs}) - \mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs}(1 - \mathrm{M}^{-2pqs})\\
&-(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})(\mathrm{M}^{-pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2-pqs})\big)(\mathrm{M}^{s(p+q)} + \mathrm{M}^{-s(p+q)} - \mathrm{M}^{s(q-p)} - \mathrm{M}^{-s(q-p)})\\
=\ &(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-2pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs})(\mathrm{M}^{-pqs^2} - 1)(\mathrm{M}^{r-rs-pqs} - \mathrm{M}^{-r-rs-pqs})(\mathrm{M}^{ps} - \mathrm{M}^{-ps})(\mathrm{M}^{qs} - \mathrm{M}^{-qs})
\end{aligned}$$

which is indeed nonzero.

The following lemma shows that each $D_i' = 0$ if r is not a number between 0 and pqs.

Lemma 5.2. *(1) When $p > q$ and either $r < 0$ or $r > pqs$, we have $D_i' = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.*
(2) When $p < -q$ and either $r > 0$ or $r < pqs$, we have $D_i' = 0$ for $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

The proof is similar to that of Lemma 3.3.

6. Case $s = 2$

6.1. **An Annihilator P of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$.** In this section, we assume that C is a $(r, 2)$-cabled knot over a torus knot $T = T(p, q)$. In

$$J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) \quad = t^{-2r((n+1)^2-1)}\textstyle\sum_{k=-\frac{n}{2}}^{\frac{n}{2}} t^{4rk(2k+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},4k+1}(t),$$

let $k=-(j+\frac{1}{2})$, then

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t) &= t^{-2r((n+1)^2-1)}\sum_{j=\frac{n-1}{2}}^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} t^{4r(2j+1)j}J_{\mathrm{T},-4j-1}(t)\\ &= -t^{-2r((n+1)^2-1)}\sum_{j=\frac{n-1}{2}}^{-\frac{n+1}{2}} t^{4r(2j+1)j}J_{\mathrm{T},4j+1}(t)\\ &= -t^{-2r((n+1)^2-1)}[t^{2rn(n+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}+\sum_{j=\frac{n-1}{2}}^{-\frac{n-1}{2}} t^{4r(2j+1)j}J_{\mathrm{T},4j+1}(t)]\\ &= -t^{-2r((n+1)^2-1)}[t^{2rn(n+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},-2n-1}+t^{2r(n^2-1)}J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)]\\ &= t^{-2rn}J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}-t^{-4rn-2r}J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t). \end{aligned} \tag{6.1}$$

Turning t^{2n} into M and $J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}(t)$ into $\mathrm{L}J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$, we see that

$$(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{-2r}t^{-2r})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)=\mathrm{M}^{-r}J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t),$$

or

$$\mathrm{M}^{r}(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{-2r}t^{-2r})J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)=J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t).$$

We now wish to find an inhomogeneous recurrence for $J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t)$. Recall equation (3.1):

$$J_{\mathrm{T},n+2}(t)=t^{-4pq(n+1)}J_{\mathrm{T},n}(t)+t^{-2pq(n+1)}\delta_n,$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} J_{\mathrm{T},2n+3}(t) &= t^{-4pq(2n+2)}J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t)+t^{-2pq(2n+2)}\delta_{2n+1}\\ &= \mathrm{M}^{-4pq}t^{-8pq}J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t)+\mathrm{M}^{-2pq}t^{-4pq}\delta_{2n+1}, \end{aligned} \tag{6.2}$$

and so

$$(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}^{-4pq}t^{-8pq})J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t)=\mathrm{M}^{-2pq}t^{-4pq}\delta_{2n+1}.$$

Letting $b(t,\mathrm{M})/(t^2-t^{-2})=\mathrm{M}^{-2pq}t^{-4pq}\delta_{2n+1}$. Then $b(t,\mathrm{M})\in\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm1},\mathrm{M}^{\pm1}]$, which is obviously non-zero, and we obtain an operator $P(t,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})$ which annihilates $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$ given by

$$P(t,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})=(\mathrm{L}-1)b^{-1}(t,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}^{-4pq}t^{-8pq})\mathrm{M}^{r}(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{-2r}t^{-2r}).$$

Assuming P has the minimal L degree, we can check the AJ-conjecture. Evaluating $P(-1,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})$ gives

$$P(-1,\mathrm{M},\mathrm{L})=b^{-1}(-1,\mathrm{M})(\mathrm{L}-1)(\mathrm{L}-\mathrm{M}^{-4pq})\mathrm{M}^{r}(\mathrm{L}+\mathrm{M}^{-2r}),$$

which is equal to the A-polynomial of C up to a nonzero factor in $\mathbb{Q}(\mathrm{M})$.

6.2. **P is the Recurrence Polynomial of C.** Next we show that the operator P given above is a generator of the ideal $\widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{C}}$. It amounts to show that if an operator $Q=D_2\mathrm{L}^2+D_2\mathrm{L}+D_0$, where each $D_j\in\mathbb{Z}[t^{\pm1},\mathrm{M}^{\pm1}]$, is an annihilator of $J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)$, then $Q=0$.

So suppose that $QJ_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)=0$, i.e.

$$D_2J_{\mathrm{C},n+2}(t)+D_1J_{\mathrm{C},n+1}+D_0J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)=0. \tag{6.3}$$

Our goal is to show that $D_i=0$, $i=0,1,2$.

Using (6.1) and (6.2) we can transform (6.3) into

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= D_2(t^{-4rn-2r}J_{\mathrm{T},2n+3}(t) - t^{-4rn-6r}(\mathrm{M}^{-r}J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t) - t^{-4rn-2r}J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t))) \\
&\quad + D_1(t^{-rn}J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t) - t^{-4rn-2r}J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t)) + D_0J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) \\
&= (D_2t^{-8rn-8r} - D_1t^{-4rn-2r} + D_0)J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) \\
&\quad + D_2t^{-2rn-2r}(t^{-8pqn-8pq}J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t) + t^{-4pqn-4pq}\delta_{2n+1}) \\
&\quad + (-D_2t^{-6rn-6r} + D_1t^{-2rn})J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t) \\
&= (D_2t^{-8r(n+1)} - D_1t^{-4rn-2r} + D_0)J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) \\
&\quad + (D_2(t^{-2r(n+1)-8pq(n+1)} - t^{-6r(n+1)}) + D_1t^{-2rn})J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t) \\
&\quad + D_2t^{-2r(n+1)-4pq(n+1)}\delta_{2n+1} \\
&= D_2'J_{\mathrm{C},n}(t) + D_1'J_{\mathrm{T},2n+1}(t) + D_0'.
\end{aligned}$$

If we can show that $D_i' = 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, then it will follow right away that $D_i = 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$. As in Lemma 3.3, we can show that $D_i' = 0$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, if r is not an integer between 0 and $2pq$.

References

[1] **D. Cooper, M. Culler, H. Gillet, D. Long, P. Shalen**, *Plane curves associated to character varieties of 3-manifolds*, Invent. Math. 118 (1994) 47-84.

[2] **S. Garoufalidis** , *On the characteristic and deformation varieties of a knot*, Proceedings of the Casson Fest, Geometry and Topology Monographs 7 (2004) 291-309.

[GK] **S. Garoufalidis, C. Koutschan**, *Irreducibility of q-difference operator and the knot* 7_4, Algebraic & Geometric Topology 13 (2013) 3261-3286.

[3] **S. Garoufalidis, T. Le**, *The colored Jones function is q-holonomic*, Geometric Topology 9 (2005) 1253-1293.

[4] **K. Hikami**, *Difference equation of the colored Jones polynomial for torus knot*, Internat. J. Math. 15 (2004) 959-965.

[5] **T. Le**, *The colored Jones polynomial and the A-polynomial of knots*, Advances in Math. 207 (2006) 782-804.

[6] **T. Le, A. Tran**, *On the AJ-conjecture for knots*, preprint, math.GT/1111.5258.

[7] **H. Morton**, *The coloured Jones function and Alexander polynomial for torus knots*, Proc. Cam- bridge Philos. Soc. 117 (1995) 129-135.

[8] **Y. Ni, X. Zhang**, *Detection of torus knots and a cabling formula for A-polynomials*, preprint.

[9] **D. Ruppe**, *Recurrence polynomials for cabled knots*, in preparation.

[10] **T. Takata**, *The colored Jones polynomial and the A-polynomial for twisted knots*, preprint, math.GT/0401068.

[11] **A. Tran**, *Proof of a stronger version of the AJ conjecture for torus knots*, Algebraic and Geometric Topology 13 (2013), no. 1, 609–624.

[12] **R. van der Veen**, *A cabling formula for the colored Jones polynomial*, Oberwolfach Reports: Low-Dimensional Topology and Number Theory (2010) 2101-2163.

Department of Mathematics, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, 14214-3093, USA.

E-mail address: dennisru@buffalo.edu

Department of Mathematics, University at Buffalo, Buffalo, NY, 14214-3093, USA.

E-mail address: xinzhang@buffalo.edu